

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

of the County of Los Angeles

2 Coral Circle • Monterey Park, CA 91755 323.890.7001 • TTY: 323.838.7449 • www.lacdc.org



Gloria Molina Yvonne Brathwaite Burke Zev Yaroslavsky Don Knabe Michael D. Antonovich Commissioners

Carlos Jackson Executive Director

May 1, 2007

Honorable Board of Commissioners Community Development Commission of the County of Los Angeles 383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration 500 West Temple Street Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Commissioners:

APPROVE THE PURCHASE OF CISCO EQUIPMENT UTILIZING AN ESTABLISHED COUNTY PURCHASE AGREEMENT (5)
(3 Vote)

CIO RECOMMENDATION: (X) APPROVE

() APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION () DISAPPROVE

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT YOUR BOARD:

- 1. Find that the purchase and installation of new switching equipment, to upgrade the Commission's electronic communications network, is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), because the proposed activity will not have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment.
- 2. Authorize the Executive Director to execute a purchase order with Insight Public Sector, Inc., a vendor qualified by the County's Purchasing Agent, and to use for this purpose \$190,600 included in the Commission's approved Fiscal Year 2006-2007 budget.
- 3. Authorize the Executive Director to increase the amount of the purchase order by up to \$19,060 for unforeseen costs using the same source of funds as described above.



Honorable Board of Commissioners May 1, 2007 Page 2

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION:

The purpose of this action is to provide redundant switching equipment and replacement of core routers, which will ensure adequate infrastructure for all data communications.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING:

There is no impact on the County general fund. The purchase will be funded with up to \$209,660 included in the Commission's approved Fiscal Year 2006-2007 budget.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS:

On December 5, 2006 your Board approved the purchase of new Cisco switching equipment, which was needed to upgrade the Commission's Wide Area Network and Local Area Network. Redundant switching equipment is now necessary to ensure business continuity and disaster recovery capabilities in the event of equipment failure, and for load balancing. The purchase of redundant switching equipment will ensure adequate infrastructure for all data communications.

The Commission's two (2) core routers are eight years old and unable to fulfill current and future data requirements. Replacement of this equipment is necessary to meet the increased need for routing data traffic, including email, video conferencing, and Internet/Intranet services.

Cisco Systems, Inc. is one of the major manufacturers of networking hardware, and is widely used in the County's existing communications infrastructure. The company has confirmed that the proposed upgrade will provide increased bandwidth and reduce the network latency that staff currently experiences.

The redundant switching equipment and new core routers will cost up to \$190,600 and will be covered by Cisco Systems Inc.'s maintenance program. The Commission will utilize the County's existing agreement with Insight Public Sector, Inc. to purchase the equipment.

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION:

The proposed purchase and installation of switching equipment is exempt from the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) pursuant to 24 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 58, Section 58.35 (b)(3), because it involves activities that will not have a physical impact or result in any physical changes to the environment. The activities are also not subject to the provisions of CEQA pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines 15060(c)(3) and 15378, because they are not defined as a project under CEQA and do not have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment.

Honorable Board of Commissioners May 1, 2007 Page 3

CONTRACTING PROCESS:

The Commission will utilize County Agreement No.42795 with Insight Public Sector, Inc. to purchase the networking equipment.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES:

The redundant switching equipment and new routers are necessary to make the Commission's information technology network infrastructure fully operational.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLOS JACKSON
Executive Director

Attachment: 1

Reviewed by

Chief information Officer

DUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CIO ANALYSIS

PURCHASE OF CISCO SWITCH FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION (ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)

CIO	CIO RECOMMENDATION:		⊠ APPROVE □ DISAPPROVE		APPROVE WITH MODIFICATION					
Contract Type:										
Ц		v Contract		Contract Am	endment	П	Contract Extension			
	Sole	e Source Contract	\boxtimes	Hardware Ac	quisition	Ħ	Other			
New/Revised Contract Term:			Base Term: 1 Yrs			# of Option Yrs: 0				
Contract Components:										
	Soft	ware	\boxtimes	Hardware		П	Telecommunications			
	Prof	essional Services	<u></u>				relecommunications			
Project Executive Sponsor: Carlos Jackson, Director, CDC										
Budget Information :										
Y-T-D Contract Expenditures			\$0	\$0						
Requ	Requested Contract Amount			\$190,600						
Contingency Amount			\$ 19,060							
Aggr	egate	Contract Amount	NA							
Project Background:										
1 10		ackground:								
Yes	No			Qu	estion					
Yes		Is this project legislat		andated?						
Yes	No 🖂	Is this project legislat	nted? T	andated?	is 100% offect	using	CDC's allocation of funds			
Yes	No	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission	nted? T	andated? The project cost oved Fiscal Yea	is 100% offset ar 2006-2007 bu	dget.				
Yes	No 🖂	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission Is this project/applica	nted? T	andated? The project cost oved Fiscal Yea olicable to (shar	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 bu	dget.	CDC's allocation of funds			
Yes	No 🖂	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission Is this project/applica yes, name the other of	nted? T	andated? The project cost oved Fiscal Yea olicable to (shar	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 bu	dget.				
Yes	No 🖂	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission Is this project/applica	nted? T	andated? The project cost oved Fiscal Yea olicable to (shar	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 bu	dget.				
Yes Strat Yes	No 🖂	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission Is this project/applica yes, name the other of the commission of the	nted? T i's appro tion app departm	andated? The project cost oved Fiscal Year plicable to (sharent(s) involved?	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 bu ed use or interfa ?	aced)	other departments? If			
Yes ☐ ☐ Strate Yes ☑	No 🖂	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission Is this project/applica yes, name the other of the commission of the	nted? Ti's approtion app	andated? The project cost oved Fiscal Year olicable to (sharent(s) involved? Quently the County of	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 but ed use or interfa ?	aced)	other departments? If			
Yes Strate Yes Strate	No Regic A	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission Is this project/applica yes, name the other of the commission of the	nted? Ti's approtion app	andated? The project cost oved Fiscal Year olicable to (sharent(s) involved? Quently the County of	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 but ed use or interfa ?	aced)	other departments? If			
Yes ☐ ☐ Strate Yes ☑	No 🖂	Is this project legislated Is this project subverfrom the Commission Is this project/applicates, name the other of Alignment: Is this project in alignment Is this project consister Plan?	nted? To appropriate the second tension appropriate te	candated? The project cost oved Fiscal Year olicable to (sharent(s) involved? Quenth the County of the currently appropriate to the	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 but ed use or interfact estion f Los Angeles Soproved Departr	dget. aced)	other departments? If jic Plan? Business Automation			
Yes Strate Yes Strate	No Regic A	Is this project legislat Is this project subver from the Commission Is this project/applica yes, name the other of the commission Alignment: Is this project in alignment: Is this project consisted Plan? Does the project's tect Document?	nted? To approve the approve t	candated? The project cost oved Fiscal Year olicable to (shar tent(s) involved? Cut th the County of the currently approximately approximatel	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 but ed use or interface? estion f Los Angeles Supproved Departmentally with County of the county of	dget. aced)	other departments? If jic Plan? Business Automation Angeles IT Directions			
Yes Strate Yes Yes	No Regic A	Is this project legislated Is this project subverfrom the Commission Is this project/applicates, name the other of Alignment: Is this project in alignment: Is this project consisted Plan? Does the project's technology and project technology and project technology.	nted? To approve the approve t	candated? The project cost oved Fiscal Year olicable to (sharent(s) involved? Quently of the currently appropriate to the currently	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 but ed use or interface? estion f Los Angeles Supproved Departrestion ly with County of with preferred County of the county of	dget. aced) aced) atrategment E	other departments? If jic Plan? Business Automation Angeles IT Directions y of Los Angeles IT and			
Yes Strat Yes Yes Yes	No Regic A	Is this project legislated Is this project subverfrom the Commission Is this project/applicates, name the other of Alignment: Is this project in alignment: Is this project consisted Plan? Does the project's technique.	tion appointed? The appointed appoin	candated? The project cost oved Fiscal Year olicable to (shar pent(s) involved? Quanth the County of the currently appropriate to the complex of solution complex of the county of the currently appropriate to the curren	is 100% offset or 2006-2007 but ed use or interface? estion f Los Angeles Suproved Departrestion by with County of with preferred Country or entered into the country of the entered into t	etrategment E	other departments? If gic Plan? Business Automation Angeles IT Directions y of Los Angeles IT and			

Project/Contract Description:

The Community Development Commission (Commission) plans to upgrade its network communications hardware to support an increasing reliance on data communications.

The acquisition of a Cisco 6509 redundant switch and two 7208 routers, via an existing County Agreement, will be \$190,600, exclusive of a \$19,060 contingency allowance. There is no impact on the County's General Fund. The funds will originate from the Commission's approved Fiscal Year 2006-2007 budget.

Background:

This acquisition is considered a routine purchase, as part of life-cycle maintenance, and is required to ensure a stable communications network.

Project Justification/Benefits:

Provide redundant switching equipment and replacement of core routers, which will ensure adequate infrastructure for Commission data communications. The Commission will ensure their communications network is capable of meeting day-to-day business needs.

Project Metrics:

None are required for this acquisition.

Impact On Service Delivery Or Department Operations, If Proposal Is Not Approved:

The impact of not approving the Purchase Agreement is that the Commission's program staff could experience operational inefficiencies.

Alternatives Considered:

Alternate hardware manufacturers were not considered, as the Commission seeks compatibility with existing network hardware.

Project Risks:

None

Risk Mitigation Measures:

None.

Financial Analysis:

The total cost for the Cisco equipment, including a one year maintenance warranty, is \$190,600. The funds will originate from the Commission's approved Fiscal Year 2006-2007 budget. The Commission utilized a County Agreement Vendor to acquire this equipment.

CIO A	۱n	aly	sis'
Page	3	of	3

CIO Concerns:

None.

CIO Recommendations:

My office recommends the approval by the Board of the proposed acquisition.

CIO APPROVAL

Date Received:

4/11/2007

Prepared by:

Gene Franklin, Sr.

Date:

4/13/200/7

Approved:

Date:

P:\Drafts\CIO Analysis for CDC cisco swith routers Insight_April07.doc